

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

pir

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/015,125	12/11/2001	Lawrence Steven Salant	455610-2510	1969	
20999	7590 03/31/2004		EXAMINER		
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			LAU, T	LAU, TUNG S	
	AVENUE- 10TH FL. K, NY 10151		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
.,_,,	-,		2863		
			DATE MAILED: 03/31/200	DATE MAILED: 03/31/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/015,125	SALANT ET AL.				
navicery nearest	Examiner	Art Unit	m			
	Tung S Lau	2863	12			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 16 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the state of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the state of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the state of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the final rejection, which will be appropriate extension fee the final rejection.						
(b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	pelow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	ction(s):					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		eparate, timely file	d amendment			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows		• •				
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·				
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>						

Continuation of 10. Other: 'displaying only the most common items of said toolbar thatt apply to the selected data signal determined by the characteristics of the selected data signal raise new issues that would require further consideration and search..

John Berfley
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Teranology Center 2800